



FMRI Correlates of Dynamic Low Frequency Photoplethysmography (PPG) and Arterial Pressure Measures

Joshua Dean¹, Burak Akin¹ Daniel Handwerker¹, Sharif Kronemer¹, Tyler Morgan¹, Peter Bandettini¹

¹Section on Functional Imaging Methods, NIMH, NIH

INTRODUCTION

→Photoplethysmography (PPG) measures light absorption from blood at the fingertip and exhibits temporal patterns that resemble fMRI signals¹²³.
 →The PPG waveform contains a non-pulsatile component below 0.1 Hz, associated with sympathetic nervous activity and Mayer waves⁴³.
 →Non pulsatile component is often referred as low frequency oscillations (LFO).
 →Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP), derived from tissue displacement via a finger cuff, contains signal features that are distinct yet physiologically linked to PPG.
 →This study compares LFO and MAP signals producing delay and correlation maps to explore their cerebral influence.

Pressure cuff (Caretaker)

Physio recordings from two fingers: White

pressure cuff is recording arterial blood

pressure and optical sensor fixated with

red tape is PPG sensor from BIOPAC

PPG (BIOPAC

METHODS

→Participants

11 healthy adults
(mean age: 26.4 ± 3.0 years; 5 females)
→MRI Acquisition
7T multiband fMRI

```
Resting-state scan duration: 14.8 ± 2.0 minutes
```

Parameters: TR = 0.75s, TE = 25ms, voxel size = 2mm isotropic, 68 slices (whole-brain coverage)

→fMRI Preprocessing (afni_proc.py)

Motion correction, Bandpass filtering (0.01−0.1 Hz) ,Normalization to MNI space ¬ Physiological Signal Recording

PPG: Acquired via BIOPAC MP160 pulse oximeter

Processed with 4th order Chebyshev filter (cutoff = 0.1 Hz) to derive LFO regressor **MAP**: Measured concurrently using CareTaker continuous BP monitor

Validated against invasive BP measurements⁵⁶

→Voxel-wise delay and correlation analysis using Rapidtide software⁷

Temporal shifting of physiological regressors in 0.15s steps across a range of -10s to +30s



Sample physio traces; Blue one is recorded by PPG and bw pass filtered 0.1Hz, red one is mean arterial pressure, and yellow one is averaged gray matter signal from same subject



Group averaged cross correlation; The left one shows cross correlation between low frequency part of PPG and average gray matter signal. One the right, cross correlation between mean arterial pressure and average gray matter signal. Highest correlation of LFO corresponds to 12.5 seconds , while dip was around 2 seconds. MAP has peak at -3 seconds. All these measures are relative to finger sensors.



Poster # 1355

Group level maximum correlation maps: On top, correlation map of non pulsatile part of PPG is shown, bottom one is for mean arterial pressure, these maps care calculated based on optimal delay that maximizes the correlation value between voxel and physiological traces recorded from finger.



Group level delay maps: a), delay map of non pulsatile part of PPG is shown, b) is for mean arterial pressure, these maps care calculated based on optimal delay that maximizes the correlation value between voxel and physiological traces recorded from finger.

CONCLUSIONS

• LFO and MAP exhibit distinct spatiotemporal signatures, each reflecting unique physiological mechanisms.

These fluctuations may relate to:

- Vascular density and patency
- Flow velocity and sympathetic tone
- Cerebral autoregulation and broader homeostatic processes

Their spatial distributions align with major brain networks such as:

- Default mode network (DMN)
- Dorsal attention network
- Visual and sensorimotor networks

 \rightarrow This differentiation suggests complementary roles for LFO and MAP in understanding fMRI signals.

→Future work will modulate these signals to explore causality, potentially Providing deeper insight into BOLD signal fluctuations enhancing clinical applications and Improving functional connectivity analysis by more effectively removing nuisance signals

RESULTS

- \rightarrow LFO and MAP are mildly anti-correlated (r \approx -0.15)
- →LFO produces wider, stronger and long lasting delay maps than MAP
- \rightarrow MAP delays are **narrow**, weak and short aligned with rapid perfusion zones
- \rightarrow LFO and MAP has two different spatiotemporal propagation pattern.

REFERENCES

- 1) Attarpour A, Ward J, Chen JJ. Hum Brain Mapp, 2021.
- 2) Chang C, Metzger CD, Glover GH, et al. Neurolmage, 2013.
- 3) Tong Y, Hocke LM, Nickerson LD, et al. *NeuroImage*, 2013.
- 4) Özbay PS, Chang C, Picchioni D, et al. Commun Biol, 2019.
- 5) Baruch MC, Kalantari K, Gerdt DW, et al. Biomed Eng Online, 2014.
- 6) Gratz I, Baruch M, Allen IE, et al. Med Res Arch, 2021.
- 7) Frederick B deB, Salo T, Drucker DM, et al. Rapidtide, v2.9.9.5, Zenodo, 2024.
- 8) Erdoğan SB, Tong Y, Hocke LM, et al. Front Hum Neurosci, 2016.

Acknowledgements

This research was made possible by the support of the National Institute of Mental Health Intramural Research Program (ZIAMH002783).





Magnitude Delay relation Top one is for LFO in other words non pulsatile PPG, it show long lasting delays with increasing correlation, bottom one is for MAP its magnitude is not much time dependent